

INDIAN PRAIRIE PUBLIC LIBRARY DISTRICT

MATERIALS SELECTION POLICY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

501	Philosophy
505	Access to the Collection
515	Responsibility & Criteria for Selection
525	Gifts
535	Evaluation and Weeding of the Collection
545	Reconsideration of Library Materials

This policy must be reviewed by the Board biannually.

MATERIALS SELECTION POLICY

501 - Philosophy

The Indian Prairie Public Library District strives to provide all people with access to diversified collections of literary, educational, informational, and recreational materials. Indian Prairie endeavors to build a popular collection representing varying points of view. The library will follow Serving Our Public: Standards for Illinois Public Libraries in determining the percentage of the budget allocated to materials each year.

505 - Access to the Collection

The executive director, trustees and staff do not restrict access to library materials. The choice of library materials by users is an individual matter. Responsibility for library materials used by children rests with their parents or guardians. Parents or legal guardians who wish to supervise their children's selections are encouraged to come to the library with their children and/or to preview materials before allowing their children access. (See Appendix E) While a person may reject materials for themselves or for their children, they cannot exercise censorship to restrict access by others. The Indian Prairie Board of Trustees supports intellectual freedom and endorses the Library Bill of Rights of the American Library Association (See Appendix A), the Freedom to Read statement of the American Library Association and the Association of American Publishers (See Appendix B), and the Freedom to View statement of the Educational Film Library Association (See Appendix C) and Access to Digital Resources and Services (See Appendix D).

515 - Responsibility and Criteria for Selection

Staff conduct selection according to the materials selection policy. Because it is impossible for staff to examine all items being considered for purchase, they depend on reviews found in standard sources and other selection aids. Suggestions for materials to be purchased are welcome from patrons, trustees and staff. An attempt will be made to borrow, through interlibrary loan, any item requested which is out of print or that the library determines does not meet the criteria for selection.

The library considers itself a popular materials library. Within that framework, as well as available financial resources and available space, staff use any of the following criteria to select materials:

Informational materials that are accurate and up-to-date

Popular within the community. In addition, the number of copies purchased is based on community demand.

Curriculum support.

Diversity of subjects, ideas and opinions.

Relevance to community interests and needs

Literary quality

Reputation and or significance of author, illustrator, or publisher.

Attention given by critics, reviewers and/or professional selection aids.

Current or historical significance

Materials relevant to the Chicagoland area.

Availability of materials and informational resources in the community or the library system.

Price

Quality of format including technical quality of non-book materials.

Staff will purchase DVDs for youth rated G, PG and PG13 and games rated E, 10+, and Teen. Staff will not purchase CDs for youth marked with a “parental advisory”. Games rated mature are purchased and shelved in the adult collection. In the adult collection, DVDs rated X or "NC-17" will not be added to the collection. The library does purchase DVDs that are not rated.

Staff will not purchase items created through the use of Artificial Intelligence.

Self-published titles by authors residing outside of the Library District will not be added to the collection.

525 – Gifts (see also 1100)

525.1 – Materials

In accepting donations of any materials intended for the library, the right of the final disposition of such gifts is reserved for the Executive Director and staff. The same criteria for inclusion in the collection that are used for purchase decisions shall be applied to gifts. Gifts that cannot be added to the collection shall be disposed of at the discretion of the Library. They may be given to the Foundation and Friends of the Library for its book sale.

The Library Accepts donations of self-published titles by authors that reside inside of the Indian Prairie Public Library District.

The library will not accept donations of the following:

- encyclopedias
- magazines
- National Geographic
- Reader's Digest Condensed Books
- text books
- books that are musty, mildewed, or soiled
- books with ripped covers or pages or broken spines.
- record albums
- functional technology that is more than two years old
- VHS and cassette tapes

525.2 - Money

Donations of money for memorials or for other purposes are accepted for the purchase of library materials. The general nature or subject area of the materials to be purchased may be based upon the wishes of the donor. Selection of specific titles, however, will be made by the library staff in accordance with the needs and selection policy of the library. (See

also 1100.2 Commemorative Book Program, 1100.3 Donations of Materials and 1100.7 Recognition of Gifts).

535 – Evaluation and Weeding of the Collection

Library staff continually evaluate and weed library collections using professional best practices and their professional judgment to make final determinations.

Withdrawn materials may be given to another library, offered to the Foundation and Friends of the Library for sale, or disposed of. Withdrawn materials will not be saved for specific persons.

545 - Reconsideration of Library Materials

The Indian Prairie Public Library District strives to provide all people with access to diversified collections of literary, educational, informational, and recreational materials. IPPL endeavors to build a popular collection representing varying points of view. Responsibility for library materials used by children rests with their parents or guardians. While a person may reject materials for themselves or for their children, they cannot exercise censorship to restrict access by others. The Indian Prairie Public Library Board of Trustees supports intellectual freedom and endorses the principles expressed in the American Library Association's Library Bill of Rights, Freedom to Read Statement, and Freedom to View statement.

Individuals who would like to express concerns or ask questions about materials may choose to speak to the Executive Director or the Head of Resource Services at any time prior to submitting a Library Material Reconsideration form (Appendix E).

- The individual completing the form must be a resident of the Indian Prairie Public Library District or have purchased an Indian Prairie non-resident card.
- Only one title may be listed on the Library Material Reconsideration form.
- Only one challenge per household may be submitted from receipt of the Library Material Reconsideration form to the final disposition by the Executive Director or the Board.
- Challenged material must be read, listened to, or watched in full.
- All questions on the Library Material Reconsideration Form must be completed in order for the Request to proceed.
- Once a decision has been reached following a Request for Reconsideration, the title will not be reviewed again for three years from the date of the final decision.

The Board of Trustees will be notified of the receipt of a fully-completed Request for Reconsideration form that meets all of the criteria listed above. The Executive Director and the Library's professional staff will review the material and make a determination regarding the Reconsideration request. The Executive Director will promptly notify both the individual who filed the Library Material Reconsideration form and the Board of the decision in writing.

If the decision is not satisfactory to the individual, they may present their complaint to the Board of Trustees by submitting a written request to the President of the Board within 30 days asking that the matter be placed on the agenda of a regular, public Board meeting. The President of the Board will schedule the agenda item within a reasonable period of time and will provide written notice to the individual of the date and time of the Board meeting.

Appendices:

- A. Library Bill of Rights
- B. Freedom to Read Statement
- C. Freedom to View Statement
- D. Access to Digital Resources and Services
- E. Library Material Reconsideration Form

Approved 5/11/88, Rev. 5/16/90, 5/15/96, 1/21/09, 4/20/11, reviewed 4/17/13, reviewed 3/18/15, revision 1/20/16, complete review & revisions approved 3/15/17, complete review and revisions approved 1/20/21, complete review 2/15/23, revisions approved 2/21/24, revision approved 6/19/24

Library Bill of Rights

The American Library Association affirms that all libraries are forums for information and ideas, and that the following basic policies should guide their services.

- I. Books and other library resources should be provided for the interest, information, and enlightenment of all people of the community the library serves. Materials should not be excluded because of the origin, background, or views of those contributing to their creation.
- II. Libraries should provide materials and information presenting all points of view on current and historical issues. Materials should not be proscribed or removed because of partisan or doctrinal disapproval.
- III. Libraries should challenge censorship in the fulfillment of their responsibility to provide information and enlightenment.
- IV. Libraries should cooperate with all persons and groups concerned with resisting abridgment of free expression and free access to ideas.
- V. A person's right to use a library should not be denied or abridged because of origin, age, background, or views.
- VI. Libraries which make exhibit spaces and meeting rooms available to the public they serve should make such facilities available on an equitable basis, regardless of the beliefs or affiliations of individuals or groups requesting their use.
- VII. All people, regardless of origin, age, background, or views, possess a right to privacy and confidentiality in their library use. Libraries should advocate for, educate about, and protect people's privacy, safeguarding all library use data, including personally identifiable information.

Adopted June 19, 1939, by the ALA Council; amended October 14, 1944; June 18, 1948; February 2, 1961 ; June 27, 1967; January 23, 1980; January 29, 2019.

Inclusion of "age" reaffirmed January 23, 1996.

Although the Articles of the Library Bill of Rights are unambiguous statements of basic principles that should govern the service of all libraries, questions do arise concerning application of these principles to specific library practices. See the documents designated by the Intellectual Freedom Committee as Interpretations of the Library Bill of Rights

(<http://www.ala.org/advocacy/intfreedom/librarybill/interpretations>)

The Freedom to Read Statement

The freedom to read is essential to our democracy. It is continuously under attack. Private groups and public authorities in various parts of the country are working to remove or limit access to reading materials, to censor content in schools, to label "controversial" views, to distribute lists of "objectionable" books or authors, and to purge libraries. These actions apparently rise from a view that our national tradition of free expression is no longer valid; that censorship and suppression are needed to counter threats to safety or national security, as well as to avoid the subversion of politics and the corruption of morals. We, as individuals devoted to reading and as librarians and publishers responsible for disseminating ideas, wish to assert the public interest in the preservation of the freedom to read.

Most attempts at suppression rest on a denial of the fundamental premise of democracy: that the ordinary individual, by exercising critical judgment, will select the good and reject the bad. We trust Americans to recognize propaganda and misinformation, and to make their own decisions about what they read and believe. We do not believe they are prepared to sacrifice their heritage of a free press in order to be "protected" against what others think may be bad for them. We believe they still favor free enterprise in ideas and expression.

These efforts at suppression are related to a larger pattern of pressures being brought against education, the press, art and images, films, broadcast media, and the Internet. The problem is not only one of actual censorship. The shadow of fear cast by these pressures leads, we suspect, to an even larger voluntary curtailment of expression by those who seek to avoid controversy or unwelcome scrutiny by government officials.

Such pressure toward conformity is perhaps natural to a time of accelerated change. And yet suppression is never more dangerous than in such a time of social tension. Freedom has given the United States the elasticity to endure strain. Freedom keeps open the path of novel and creative solutions, and enables change to come by choice. Every silencing of a heresy, every enforcement of an orthodoxy, diminishes the toughness and resilience of our society and leaves it the less able to deal with controversy and difference.

Now as always in our history, reading is among our greatest freedoms. The freedom to read and write is almost the only means for making generally available ideas or manners of expression that can initially command only a small audience. The written word is the natural medium for the new idea and the untried voice from which come the original contributions to social growth. It is essential to the extended discussion that serious thought requires, and to the accumulation of knowledge and ideas into organized collections.

We believe that free communication is essential to the preservation of a free society and a creative culture. We believe that these pressures toward conformity present the danger of limiting the range and variety of inquiry and expression on which our democracy and our culture depend. We believe that every American community must jealously guard the freedom to publish and to circulate, in order to preserve its own freedom to read. We believe that publishers and librarians have a profound responsibility to give validity to that freedom to read by making it possible for the readers to choose freely from a variety of offerings.

The freedom to read is guaranteed by the Constitution. Those with faith in free people will stand firm on these constitutional guarantees of essential rights and will exercise the responsibilities that accompany these rights.

We therefore affirm these propositions:

1. *It is in the public interest for publishers and librarians to make available the widest diversity of views and expressions, including those that are unorthodox, unpopular, or considered dangerous by the majority.*

Creative thought is by definition new, and what is new is different. The bearer of every new thought is a rebel until that idea is refined and tested. Totalitarian systems attempt to maintain themselves in power by the ruthless suppression of any concept that challenges the established orthodoxy. The power of a democratic system to adapt to change is vastly strengthened by the freedom of its citizens to choose widely from among conflicting opinions offered freely to them. To stifle every nonconformist idea at birth would mark the end of the democratic process. Furthermore, only through the constant activity of weighing and selecting can the democratic mind attain the strength demanded by times like these. We need to know not only what we believe but why we believe it.

2. *Publishers, librarians, and booksellers do not need to endorse every idea or presentation they make available. It would conflict with the public interest for them to establish their own political, moral, or aesthetic views as a standard for determining what should be published or circulated.*

Publishers and librarians serve the educational process by helping to make available knowledge and ideas required for the growth of the mind and the increase of learning. They do not foster education by imposing as mentors the patterns of their own thought. The people should have the freedom to read and consider a broader range of ideas than those that may be held by any single librarian or publisher or government or church. It is wrong that what one can read should be confined to what another thinks proper.

3. *It is contrary to the public interest for publishers or librarians to bar access to writings on the basis of the personal history or political affiliations of the author.*

No art or literature can flourish if it is to be measured by the political views or private lives of its creators. No society of free people can flourish that draws up lists of writers to whom it will not listen, whatever they may have to say.

4. *There is no place in our society for efforts to coerce the taste of others, to confine adults to the reading matter deemed suitable for adolescents, or to inhibit the efforts of writers to achieve artistic expression.*

To some, much of modern expression is shocking. But is not much of life itself shocking? We cut off literature at the source if we prevent writers from dealing with the stuff of life. Parents and teachers have a responsibility to prepare the young to meet the diversity of experiences in life to which they will be exposed, as they have a responsibility to help them learn to think critically for themselves. These are affirmative responsibilities, not to be discharged simply by preventing them from reading works for which they are not yet prepared. In these matters values differ, and values cannot be legislated; nor can machinery be devised that will suit the demands of one group without limiting the freedom of others.

5. *It is not in the public interest to force a reader to accept the prejudgment of a label characterizing any expression or its author as subversive or dangerous.*

The ideal of labeling presupposes the existence of individuals or groups with wisdom to determine by authority what is good or bad for others. It presupposes that individuals must be

directed in making up their minds about the ideas they examine. But Americans do not need others to do their thinking for them.

6. *It is the responsibility of publishers and librarians, as guardians of the people's freedom to read, to contest encroachments upon that freedom by individuals or groups seeking to impose their own standards or tastes upon the community at large; and by the government whenever it seeks to reduce or deny public access to public information.*

It is inevitable in the give and take of the democratic process that the political, the moral, or the aesthetic concepts of an individual or group will occasionally collide with those of another individual or group. In a free society individuals are free to determine for themselves what they wish to read, and each group is free to determine what it will recommend to its freely associated members. But no group has the right to take the law into its own hands, and to impose its own concept of politics or morality upon other members of a democratic society. Freedom is no freedom if it is accorded only to the accepted and the inoffensive. Further, democratic societies are more safe, free, and creative when the free flow of public information is not restricted by governmental prerogative or self-censorship.

7. *It is the responsibility of publishers and librarians to give full meaning to the freedom to read by providing books that enrich the quality and diversity of thought and expression. By the exercise of this affirmative responsibility, they can demonstrate that the answer to a "bad" book is a good one, the answer to a "bad" idea is a good one.*

The freedom to read is of little consequence when the reader cannot obtain matter fit for that reader's purpose. What is needed is not only the absence of restraint, but the positive provision of opportunity for the people to read the best that has been thought and said. Books are the major channel by which the intellectual inheritance is handed down, and the principal means of its testing and growth. The defense of the freedom to read requires of all publishers and librarians the utmost of their faculties, and deserves of all Americans the fullest of their support.

We state these propositions neither lightly nor as easy generalizations. We here stake out a lofty claim for the value of the written word. We do so because we believe that it is possessed of enormous variety and usefulness, worthy of cherishing and keeping free. We realize that the application of these propositions may mean the dissemination of ideas and manners of expression that are repugnant to many persons. We do not state these propositions in the comfortable belief that what people read is unimportant. We believe rather that what people read is deeply important; that ideas can be dangerous; but that the suppression of ideas is fatal to a democratic society. Freedom itself is a dangerous way of life, but it is ours.

This statement was originally issued in May of 1953 by the Westchester Conference of the American Library Association and the American Book Publishers Council, which in 1970 consolidated with the American Educational Publishers Institute to become the Association of American Publishers.

Adopted June 25, 1953, by the ALA Council and the AAP Freedom to Read Committee; amended January 28, 1972; January 16, 1991 ; July 12, 2000; June 30, 2004.

A Joint Statement by:

American Library Association U)

Association of American Publishers (<http://www.publishers.org/>)

Subsequently endorsed by:

American Booksellers for Free Expression (<http://www.bookweb.org/abfe>)

The Association of American University Presses (<http://www.aaupnet.org/>)

The Children's Book Council (<http://www.cbcbooks.org/>)

Freedom to Read Foundation (<http://www.ftrf.org>)

National Association of College Stores (<http://www.nacs.org/>)

National Coalition Against Censorship (<http://www.ncac.org/>)

National Council of Teachers of English (<http://www.ncte.org/>)

The Thomas Jefferson Center for the Protection of Free Expression

Freedom to View Statement

The FREEDOM TO VIEW, along with the freedom to speak, to hear, and to read, is protected by the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States. In a free society, there is no place for censorship of any medium of expression. Therefore these principles are affirmed:

1. To provide the broadest access to film, video, and other audiovisual materials because they are a means for the communication of ideas. Liberty of circulation is essential to insure the constitutional guarantees of freedom of expression.
2. To protect the confidentiality of all individuals and institutions using film, video, and other audiovisual materials.
3. To provide film, video, and other audiovisual materials which represent a diversity of views and expression. Selection of a work does not constitute or imply agreement with or approval of the content.
4. To provide a diversity of viewpoints without the constraint of labeling or prejudging film, video, or other audiovisual materials on the basis of the moral, religious, or political beliefs of the producer or filmmaker or on the basis of controversial content.
5. To contest vigorously, by all lawful means, every encroachment upon the public's freedom to view.

This statement was originally drafted by the Freedom to View Committee of the American Film and Video Association (formerly the Educational Film Library Association) and was adopted by the AFVA Board of Directors in February 1979. This statement was updated and approved by the AFVA Board of Directors in 1989.

Access to Digital Resources and Services: An Interpretation of the Library Bill of Rights

The fundamental mission of libraries is to provide access to information, regardless of content or format, to everyone. Digital resources and services, or resources and services made primarily available online or on digital devices, are integral to libraries' mission in the twenty-first century. Libraries are important points of access to many digital resources and services, including, but not limited to, computers, the Internet, and digital resources and tools. In order to provide access to digital resources and services while upholding the Library Bill of Rights, libraries must consider intellectual freedom principles and issues of equity to ensure that access to information is enhanced, not restricted, by digital technology.

Libraries should regularly review issues arising from digital creation, distribution, retrieval, and archiving of information. Any review of these issues should consider users' First Amendment rights, rights to privacy, and the core values of librarianship as expressed in the Library Bill of Rights and the Code of Ethics of the American Library Association. Many people lack access or the capability to use or create digital resources effectively. There is a need for places where people can access, use, or create information without impediment. It is the responsibility of libraries to provide access to digital resources and services and to mitigate all barriers, whether they are economic, educational, or political. The provision of access does not imply sponsorship or endorsement by the library. Libraries should resist all attempts by individuals, governments, and private entities to censor or limit access to digital resources or services.

In making decisions about how to offer access to digital resources, services, tools, physical equipment, and networks, each library should consider intellectual freedom principles and issues of equity in the context of its mission, goals, objectives, cooperative agreements, and the needs of the entire community it serves.

The Rights of Users

All library policies, procedures, or regulations relating to digital resources and services should be scrutinized for potential violations of user rights. User policies should be developed according to the policies and guidelines established by the American Library Association.¹

Users' access to digital resources and services should not be restricted or denied for expressing, receiving, creating, or participating in constitutionally protected speech. If access is restricted or denied for behavioral or other reasons, users should be provided due process, including, but not limited to, formal notice and a means of appeal.

Information retrieved, utilized, or created digitally is constitutionally protected unless determined otherwise by a court of competent jurisdiction. These rights extend to minors as well as adults.² Libraries should use technology to enhance, not deny, digital access. Users have the right to be free of unreasonable limitations or conditions set by libraries, librarians, system administrators, vendors, network service providers, or others. Contracts, agreements, and licenses entered into by libraries on behalf of their users should not violate this right. Libraries should provide library users the training and assistance necessary to find, evaluate, use, and create information effectively.

All people, regardless of origin, age, background, or views, possess a right to privacy and confidentiality in their library use.³ The library should uphold these rights by policy, procedure, and practice in accordance with Article VII of the Library Bill of Rights. The library should regularly maintain its systems and networks in order to protect users' rights to privacy and confidentiality. As libraries increasingly provide access to digital resources through third-party vendors, libraries have a

responsibility to hold vendors accountable for protecting patrons' privacy.

Equity of Access

The digital environment provides expanding opportunities for everyone to participate in the information society, but individuals may face serious barriers to access. These barriers, often referred to as the digital divide, may include a lack of infrastructure for Internet connectivity, lack of tools (hardware or software), and lack of skills, knowledge, or means necessary to access digital resources.⁴ Libraries should be cognizant of the digital divide and work to minimize it as they provide access to digital resources for their communities. .

Digital resources, services, training, and networks provided directly or indirectly by the library should be readily and equitably accessible to all library users. American Library Association policies oppose the charging of user fees for the provision of information services by libraries that receive support from public funds.⁵ Libraries should develop policies concerning access to digital resources. These policies should be consistent with ALA's policies and guidelines. When new digital resources are provided to library users, libraries have an obligation to provide equitable training opportunities to library users and workers in using those new resources. Training should also address privacy and security issues that accompany the use of digital resources and services.

Information Resources and Access

Libraries, acting within their mission and objectives, should support access to information on all subjects that serve the needs or interests of each user, regardless of the user's age or the content of the material. In order to preserve the cultural record and to prevent the loss of information, libraries may need to expand their selection or collection-development policies to ensure preservation, in appropriate formats, of information obtained digitally. Libraries have an obligation to provide access to government information available in digital format.

Providing connections to global information, services, and networks is not the same as selecting and purchasing materials for a library collection. Some information accessed digitally may not meet a library's selection or collection-development policy. It is, therefore, left to each user to determine what is appropriate. Libraries and library workers should not deny or limit access to digital resources because of their allegedly controversial content or because of a library worker's personal beliefs or fear of confrontation. Furthermore, libraries and library workers should not deny access to digital resources solely on the grounds that they are perceived to lack value. Parents and legal guardians who are concerned about their children's use of digital resources should provide guidance to their own children.

Publicly funded libraries have a legal obligation to provide access to constitutionally protected information. Federal, state, county, municipal, local, or library governing bodies sometimes require the use of Internet filters or other technological measures that block access to constitutionally protected information, contrary to the *Library Bill of Rights*.⁶ If a library uses a technological measure that blocks access to information, it should be set at the least restrictive level in order to minimize the blocking of constitutionally protected speech.

Adults retain the right to access all constitutionally protected information and to ask for the technological measure to be disabled in a timely and confidential manner. Minors also retain the right to access constitutionally protected information and, at a minimum, have the right to ask the library or librarian to provide access to erroneously blocked information in a timely and confidential manner. In order to ensure user privacy and confidentiality, records of these requests should not contain personally identifiable information. Libraries and librarians have an obligation to inform users of these rights and to provide the means to exercise these rights.⁷

Digital resources and services allow libraries to significantly expand the scope of information available to users. Like all resources and services provided by the library, provision of access to digital resources and services should follow the principles outlined in the Library Bill of Rights to ensure equitable access regardless of content or platform.

¹ "Guidelines for Library Policies

(<http://www.ala.org/advocacy/intfreedom/guidelinesforaccesspolicies>)," approved June 28, 1994 by the ALA Intellectual Freedom Committee; revised January 19, 2005; March 29, 2014 under previous name "Guidelines for the Development and Implementation of Policies, Regulations and Procedures Affecting Access to Library Materials, Services and Facilities"; June 24, 2019.

² *Tinker v. Des Moines Independent Community School District*, 393 U.S. 503 (1969); *Board of Education, Island Trees Union Free School District No. 26 v. Pico*, 457 U.S. 853, (1982); *American Amusement Machine Association v. Teri Kendrick*, 244 F.3d 954 (7th Cir. 2001); *cen.denied*, 534 U.S. 994 (2001).

³ "Privacy: An Interpretation of the Library Bill of Rights

(<http://www.ala.org/advocacy/intfreedom/librarybill/interpretations/privacy>)," adopted June 19, 2002, by the ALA Council; amended on July 1, 2014; June 24, 2019.

⁴ Martin Hilbert, "The End Justifies the Definition: The Manifold Outlooks on the Digital Divide and Their Practical Usefulness for Policy-Making," *Telecommunications Policy* 35, no. 8 (2011): 715-736. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.telpol.2011.06.012> (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.telpol.2011.06.012>)

⁵ "Economic Barriers to Information Access: An Interpretation of the Library Bill of Rights

(<http://www.ala.org/advocacy/intfreedom/librarybill/interpretations/economicbarriers>)," adopted June 30, 1993, by the ALA Council and amended June 25, 2019.

⁶ Internet Filtering: An Interpretation of the

(<http://www.ala.org/advocacy/intfreedom/librarybill/interpretations/internet-filtering>) Library Bill of Rights (<http://www.ala.org/advocacy/intfreedom/librarybill/interpretations/internet-filtering>)," adopted June 30, 2015, by the ALA Council.

⁷ If some libraries do not have the capacity to unblock specific Web sites or to disable the filter or if it is shown that an adult user's election to view constitutionally protected Internet material is burdened in some other substantial way, that would be the subject for an as-applied challenge, not the facial challenge made in this case." *United States, et al. v. American Library Association*, 539 U.S. 194 (2003) (Justice Kennedy, concurring).

Adopted January 24, 1996 by the ALA Council; amended January 19, 2005; July 15, 2009 under previous name "Access to Digital Information, Services, and Networks"; and June 25, 2019.

References to cited policies have been updated on November 6, 2018.

See Also

- "Questions and Answers on Access to Digital Information, Services and Networks (<http://www.ala.org/advocacy/intfreedom/digitalaccessfaq>)," adopted June 5, 1997 by the ALA Intellectual Freedom Committee; revised November 17, 2000; January 16, 2010.
- "Guidelines for the Development of Policies and Procedures Regarding User Behavior and Library Usage (<http://www.ala.org/advocacy/intfreedom/guidelinesdevelopment>)," adopted January 24, 1993 by the Intellectual Freedom Committee; revised November 17, 2000; January 19, 2005; March 29, 2014; and March 24, 2019.
- "Guidelines for Library Policies (<http://www.ala.org/advocacy/intfreedom/guidelinesforaccesspolicies>)," approved June 28, 1994 by the ALA Intellectual Freedom Committee; revised January 19, 2005; March 29, 2014 under previous name "Guidelines for the Development and Implementation of Policies, Regulations and Procedures Affecting Access to Library Materials, Services and Facilities"; June 24, 2019.

*All questions must be answered fully.

Request initiated by: (Name)

· Address:

· Phone Number

· Email

Do you represent:

___ Yourself

___ An Organization: (Name)

___ Other group: (Name)

Type of format:

Title:

Author:

Year of Publication:

Publisher:

How did you learn of this material?

Did you read/listen/view the material in full? (If the answer is no, the request for reconsideration will not proceed).

Have you read the Indian Prairie Public Library District Material Selection Policy? [link]

What are your concerns about the material? Include specific examples (text or images provided by groups or organizations will not be accepted).

How has the material been assessed in professional review sources? Include citations.

In your opinion, who would be negatively impacted by this material and in what way?

Do you believe that you should be able to restrict the reading choices of community members, including children other than your own? If yes, why?

In its place, what material would you recommend?

What action are you requesting of the Library?

Signature _____ Date _____

Received by _____ Date _____